

San Francisco, CA 94111-3492

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. Eugene T. Michal 09/918,365 07/30/2001 ACS 55933 1073 11/16/2005 EXAMINER 7590 Cameron Kerrigan MICHENER, JENNIFER KOLB SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER One Maritime Plaza Suite 300 1762

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/918,365	MICHAL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer K. Michener	1762
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After final</u>	
(1) <u>Jennifer K. Michener</u> .	(3) <u>Mark Lupkowsky</u> .	
(2) <u>Cameron Kerrigan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 November 2005	Time: <u>5PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
JENNIFER MICHENER		
PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 09/918,365

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner phoned Applicant's representative, Cameron Kerrigan, to indicate that the IDS filed 9/26/2005 will not be considered due to the lack of the required statement under 1.97 (e). Examiner indicated that in order to consider the IDS, such a statement must be supplied or an RCE must be filed. A voicemail was left by Examiner to that effect. Mr. Kerrigan returned Examiner's call and left a voicemail indicating that an RCE should be filed to get the references considered and indicated that another attorney from his firm would be contacting the Examiner. Mr. Lupkowsky called Examiner for clarification of the 1.97(e) statement and indicated that upon further consultation with Mr. Kerrigan, an RCE would likely be filed within the next week to allow consideration of the supplied references and IDS. .